

As all bestwishes, writing later - J

DAYLIGHT

ORGAN OF THE COUNTER - EVOLUTION GROUP

Editor and Secretary:
John G. Campbell
5 Wallace Avenue
STEVENSTON
Ayrshire
Scotland

Patrons: - The Immaculate Conception
St. Joseph and St. Michael Archangel

October 1978

Annual Subscription £2.

CONTENTS

EDITOR'S LETTER

CURRENT EVENTS

ANY QUESTIONS? by Wallace Johnson.

PILTDOWN DAYS

"EVEN IF AN ANGEL FROM HEAVEN"

MEN DEVOLVE INTO MONKEYS

MAN'S ORIGINS by Anthony Michael Fides.

EDITOR'S LETTER

Dear Readers,
God bless all here!

This issue represents the first of the second year of *Daylight*, so would readers please remember to send in another subscription - once they have quite recovered from the holiday spending.

There will be noted a certain difference in the contents in this issue, it being mainly concerned with the rights and duties of the parents in regard to the new catechetics. This has been the subject of a continuous correspondence from readers, and our main article here seeks to clarify the rights of the Catholic people in the light of Catholic teaching and tradition.

At the same time, there has been a demand from readers for the former Flood supplement to be re-issued in abbreviated single-leaf form, and this new leaflet is included as a supplement.

We have the pleasure now to introduce readers to the racy style of Wallace Johnson, the Australian author (see page 2) and future contributions from Fr. Valentine Long, O.F.M., author of the Vatican Radio Broadcast, are in the pipeline, with, probably, those of other authorities in the field. Taking these along with our present writers, we should be able to offer readers a service fully informative in all aspects of the Evolution question.

In one year we have come, D.G., quite a long way, thanks to the enthusiastic help of so many readers. And any readers who might wish an extra copy to hand to a friend should let us know. Always remembering our now famous "Pinks"!

Thanking you one and all again,

Yours sincerely,

The Editor.

CURRENT EVENTS

The Case of Bernard Tayler

It was astonishing to read in the magazine *Approaches* the case of Bernrd Tayler, which we certainly missed reading in the daily press. Mr. Tayler of 10 Bootham Road, Billericay, Essex, described by his local paper as "a Christian gentleman", felt that as a sincere Catholic, he had to deduct that part of his rates which were supporting free contraceptives, a sterilization clinic and one for sex-ology advice to teenagers.

The upshot was that he was lodged in solitary confinement in a filthy cell in Pentonville, as if he were the most degraded criminal, being forbidden to take with him any personal possessions, not even his Bible. It seems also that his local Conservative Association considered that he had got what he deserved for breaking "the rule of law", the fact that one must obey God rather than men not seeming to occur to these defenders of tradition (sic!) in our society.

But most astonishing is the fact that the Catholic Commission for Social Justice and the Catholic bishops, who loudly proclaim the injustice of imprisonment for Marxists in South America, have

uttered no public protest (that we have seen). If they are unaware of the case of Bernard Tayler, it is here brought to their notice.

If we take this case along with that of Mr. David Watson, who was dismissed his post for refusing to teach Evolution, we may see that our society is now totalitarian in refusing to recognise the right of Christian conscience to protest, even against State administered sexual perversion - it puts them in jail!

Let Irish readers please note this case as a portent of things to come under their proposed State-contraceptive legislation. They may still have time to prevent this regime from being imposed on them.

A successful conference.

The Creationist conference in Westminster, September 16th, was an outstanding success, so much so that Adrian Berry, Science Correspondent of the Telegraph, had to devote a lengthy article in attempted rebuttal of arguments of the speakers. Although noting the scientific qualifications of the speakers, Mr. Berry attempted to poke fun at them as being "flat earthers", not realising that it is he and his friends who are the "flat earthers", i.e., in attempting to revive the ancient Greek myth of Evolution.

England.

Our esteemed colleague, Mr. Anthony Nevard, has conducted another successful meeting, de-hoaxing Evolution, in the London area.

Ireland.

Word from our Correspondents, North and South, reports that they are active in the distribution of the *Daylight* leaflets, particularly that on Teilhard de Chardin. It seems that there is a pro-Teilhard campaign afoot at the moment in Ireland, among the admirers of this false prophet being eminent Churchmen. Other word speaks of a continuing battle against the proposed Humanist legislation.

Australia.

A letter from Mr. Wallace Johnson, the distinguished anti-Evolution author and lecturer (see article inside) informs us that he is to lecture on his theme before the Toowoomba (Queensland) Conservative Association. After this he intends going to the north of the State, there to contact Catholic parents protesting against the Evolution teaching in the schools.

U. S. A.

Recent word from Fr. Valentine Long, O.F.M. (author of the recent Vatican Radio broadcast) indicates his constant anti-Evolution activities, with a further article of his soon to be published in the magazine, *Friar*. Dr. W Marra of New Jersey continues the good work by means of his lectures and cassettes, these obtainable in this country from C. & V. Productions, 48 Cambridge Road Gillingham, Kent.

Still, we have a long way to go to compare with the activities of the Protestant anti-Evolutionists. They hold debates before literally, thousands of students on the American campuses, and they have programmes on about forty radio stations, coast to coast. Honour where honour is due.

The Sling of David.

None the less, there is hope in the fact of the growing influence of the Catholic anti-Evolutionists. For instance, Fr. Brinkworth,

S. J. in his recent pamphlet, *The First R.*, warned teachers that there was a strong scientific case against Evolution, and to pay heed to the growing strength of the anti-Evolution lobby. (P.S.R.S. Publications, The Convent, Fishguard, Dyfed, Wales). Thus when there is prayer and courage even David's sling can bring down Goliath.

Any Questions?

by Wallace Johnson.

QUESTION: If it is granted that the Theory of Evolution is at the basis of faulty catechetics, can you outline briefly how the destructive influence is manifested in modern classroom Christian Doctrine?

ANSWER: The manifestation is this: In many Christian Doctrine classes there is lacking those clear Catholic Doctrines and firm Catholic dogmas which formerly were taught as being necessary to be believed by a Catholic, and, if necessary, to be died for rather than denied.

In many modern Christian Doctrine classes, the effects of Evolution are seen in vagueness and questioning, where formerly there were clarity and certainty.

This becomes apparent when one quizzes modern students on matters like Original Sin: What exactly does it mean? On Baptism: What exactly does it do? On the Real Presence: How real? On the Cross: What was Jesus doing on that cross? On Sin itself: Is sin an offence against a personal God, or is it rather a hurting of oneself and society? Miracles: Are they really possible? And what about Heaven? Hell? Purgatory? Sanctifying Grace?

I believe catechetical teaching has changed in step with changes in outlook of many modern theologians, who have undergone a vehement conversion to the theory of evolution. The late Father Teilhard de Chardin was so obsessed with the idea of evolution, a grand sweep of evolution from particles to the universe, earth, animals and man, that he decided that Catholicity had to be completely re-written to fit the concept of evolution.

Father Teilhard's starting point was denial of Original Sin. He declared that it would be impossible for evolution to produce only one first man and only one first woman (Adam and Eve). As the process of evolution acted on animal primates, he said, there would have emerged numerous first humans, groups or populations of suddenly-evolved hairy first men and hairy first women. Therefore, he said, it is necessary to reject the traditional belief in Adam's original sin.

PILTDOWN DAYS

This affair has the flavour of some uproarious stage comedy. The curtain rises at Piltdown in the south of England in the year 1912. Here in that year there was discovered Piltdown Man, the missing link to end all discussion.

Later in the same year the discovery was formally announced to the world, in London, in a lecture given by Mr. Smith Woodward of the British Museum and Mr. Charles Dawson of Piltdown.

For forty years Piltdown Man was enshrined in the British Museum, classes of students being conducted there to have the fossils scientifically explained to them. The cause of Evolution was triumphant, the theories of Mr. Charles Darwin completely vindicated!

Nevertheless, Marcellin Boule, the Father of Paleontology, had, all along, stubbornly insisted that the human skull and the monkey jawbone formed an impossible combination. And there had always been rumours of a hoax from the Piltdown area.

To quell these mischievous rumours, which persisted, a test of the fossils was conducted by means of the flourine test. To the surprise of all, this showed the fossils not to be 500,000 years old, but merely 50,000 - nevertheless this could but show that the monkey-man transition had been much later than had been reckoned.

Still, the test caused a widespread ripple of uneasiness, and it was decided to hold another incorporating both the flourine and radio-carbon methods. This latter test was conducted by J. S. Weiner, K. P. Oakley and W. Legros Clerk, all Evolutionists. This time the results were sensational; **the skull was of great antiquity, but the jawbone was that of a freshly killed monkey!**

There was consternation, widespread incredulity, and experts

Reports from Paris

The first report tells of the plight of the Lebanese Christians, whose areas are being openly shelled by the Syrian Muslim army (as we see reported in the daily press) whilst the U.N.O. looks blandly on. It further states that over a million Christians of the Lebanon have now fled abroad to escape this genocide. Where are those Catholic spokesmen!

The second report speaks of an estimate that the Muslim population of the Soviet Union is now in a majority, the European stock having conducted contraceptive race-suicide. A portent for the future?

Although this proposition of Teilhard's was condemned by the teaching Church, it was accepted by numerous people in the Church, including many theologians. So, Adam and Original Sin were discarded by more and more Catholics under the influence of the theory of evolution.

But Christianity is a religion of Redemption from Original Sin. When Original Sin is dislodged, other dogmas begin to topple: Redemption, Baptism, The Immaculate Conception, Personal Sin, the inerrancy of the Bible. And it does not stop there. Under Teilhard de Chardin's logical synthesis, based on evolution, every dogma of the Catholic religion has been emptied of meaning and given a new naturalistic meaning.

These ideas led to the Dutch Catechism, which, in turn, has profoundly affected many modern catechetics.

This new wave is strong, and is growing stronger, in defiance of condemnations by Pope and Magisterium. And, of course, we see its manifestation in many Christian Doctrine classes, in what many of our students are being taught, or what is omitted to be taught.

One of these modern theologians, who is prominent and popular, has declared that nowadays all serious Catholic theologians take evolution for granted; and, he added, that evolution has shown that things which we thought to be "of Faith" were not "of Faith".

Pope St. Pius X hit the bullseye when he stated that denial of Original Sin is the point of departure from the Faith.

If I may paraphrase a thought by one of the great minds of the true Church, Monsignor J. F. McCarthy, who is President of the Roman Theological Forum today:— The first two lessons of Religious Education are written large at the beginning of Sacred Scripture, in the first two chapters of Genesis. . . the lesson of God the Creator, and the lesson of Original Sin, The NEW approach to religious education is a process of unlearning these two fundamental lessons and of systematically forgetting their meaning.

I have tried to outline what evolution is doing to our students' religious education; I now suggest that the only antidote is to convince them and their teachers that the theory of evolution can be demolished on scientific grounds. Thanks to the work of hundreds of good scientists, there is now a massive scientific case which shows that evolution never did happen. I think there is a duty in conscience to make this known.

from the learned bodies were gathered for their examinations and assessments of the evidence. The final results were even more sensational. They confirmed that the jawbone was that of a freshly dead monkey, that it had been broken at the joint to make it fit into the skull; that the teeth had been artificially stained to give them the appearance of antiquity, and bore the marks of modern steel instruments to file them down into shape.

Thus died Piltdown Man, the great ancestor.

Indeed, this has all the flavour of a stage farce. But it is all recorded sober fact, the results of the test being recorded in the Bulletin of the British Museum, No. 3, 1953. There is also a comprehensive account of the case in *The Piltdown Forgery* by J. S. Weiner, one of the conductors of the test, this book being available in the major libraries.

Now, the point about the Piltdown affair is not that some Evolutionists forged the evidence, but that all the Evolutionist scientists accepted it for forty years - truly the wish is father to the thought. Of course, all mention of the Piltdown story is carefully excised from the little Evolutionist pamphlets handed to the children, those containing the pictures of the great skulls, the other "missing-links". The whole affair raises the question of the nature of Evolutionist science; and it is thus of first importance.

Also, it is pertinent to point out that one of the "discoverers" of Piltdown Man was none other than Teilhard de Chardin, that great "priest-scientist", after which he went to help discover another two hoaxes, Pekin Man and Java Man.

It is the intention to reprint the accounts of the other Evolutionist hoaxes and forgeries in subsequent issues.

"Even if an Angel from Heaven"

It was welcome to observe several recent writings by clerical authors on the theme of "even if an angel from Heaven", the warning by the Apostle Paul that the Catholic cannot accept false doctrine, even when taught by a teacher of awe-inspiring status. It is a much forgotten warning.

Among all the revolutionary changes of the day (the destruction of the altars and altar rails, the removal of the tabernacle, etc., etc.) none has caused more alarm among Catholic parents than the change in catechetics; the old catechisms have been consigned to the incinerators, being replaced by texts which are indeed strange and alarming. Here is what *Osservatore Romano* of Sept. 7th 1978, has to say in a full page review of a book, *Creed and Catechetics*, by Mons. E. Kevane:

"There is today an epidemic of worry among parents and others over what children are being taught. Very often parents observe a tragic ignorance of basic religious truths in their children, as well as an alienation from prayer, the Sacraments and the Church. They are given assurances which in their hearts they know to be false."

This, from the official organ of the Holy See, points to a truly alarming state of affairs. Yet, protests from the body of Catholic parents have been strangely absent so far.

Almost every week one hears - in conversations - the complaints of parents about the new naturalistic catechetics. Teilhardian Evolutionism is inculcated, the Bible being mostly a collection of allegories. In one work the children are asked to research the myths of the ancient pagan peoples with whom the Jews had so much contact! Jesus Christ is described as "the Son of God", but opposite His picture there are those of other liberators of the human race, Abraham Lincoln, Dag Hammarskold of the United Nations, and so on. Again we find that the Resurrection - without which "your faith is in vain" - is recounted in a very ambiguous fashion, this being the main plaint of Irish parents in regard to their new catechism. The Mass is described as something in the nature of a communal meal, without reference to the Sacrifice of Calvary. Taking one concrete example, the Pope is described as "our leader" and compared with the Archbishop of Canterbury and General Booth of the Salvation Army, no reference being made to Papal Infallibility.

Just as this was being written *Christian Order* of Sept. 1978 came to hand, containing a review of the new Westminster Syllabus by Fr. M. Clifton - and here is an eye-opener! This syllabus is all on the lines of social studies, and includes a section on "Revolution and Protest".

The children are told of an evolutionary process leading to the fulfilment of all things in Christ, which is pure Teilhardism, and - believe it or not - Teilhard and Charles Darwin are included in a list of "men of vision", along with the Prophets and Saints! Fundamental doctrines of the Faith are hardly referred to, according to the reviewer. And the recommended reading includes *Christ and Original Sin* by the ex-priest Mr. de Rosa, and, to cap all, the notorious *The Church and the Social Revolution* by Dr. Dominion. The whole thing is simply a mass of misinformation and indecency, and Fr. Clifton would seem to have been too mild in his review. But the question is - what is Cardinal Hume going to do about this Westminster Syllabus?

In passing, one has to recount that a quite common instruction given by the catechetical "experts" to the teacher is that the angels must never be mentioned, as this would cause the children to confuse the angels with God. The references of Jesus Christ to the angels obviously go for nothing. Thus, the Catholic children can no longer say the *Angelus*!

Yet, in spite of all this destruction of their children's Faith, protests by Catholic parents have, in general, not been made. Why is this?

The reason for the great silence, in the present writer's opinion, lies in a doctrine of false obedience of the comparatively recent era of the Church, one superseding the warning of the Apostle and the constant Catholic tradition.

The constant tradition.

It begins in the Scriptures themselves, St. Paul's exhortation to the Galatians:

But even if we, or an angel from Heaven, should preach to you a gospel contrary to that which we preached unto you, let him be accursed. Galatians 1 - 8

The Apostle repeats the warning in other places about false teachers, but here he touches the difficulty of the ordinary Catholic: that the false teachers were those of rank or prestige in the Church. He tells the people, even if he, an Apostle returns and preaches false doctrine, and, stretching it further, "even if an Angel from Heaven", they are to be accursed. The Apostle could not have made it more dear; the teacher of false doctrine is always to be opposed, no matter what his rank or status might be.

Deriving from this warning of St. Paul - and indeed from common sense - the right of the Catholic people to reject false doctrine has always been recognised. The action of the layman Eusebius in protesting against the Patriarch Nestorius in the cathedral of Santa Sophia has been lauded by the Saints and Doctors. St. Thomas is quite explicit in his *Summa*, wherein he recommends the "zeal and freedom" of Catholic subjects in rebuking false prelates. Among others who have commented in like manner are Saints Augustine, Cyprian, Gregory Ambrose Anselm, a long and authoritative list. In short, there cannot be the slightest doubt as to that which is the constant doctrine and tradition. (See the excellent summary of his question, with full references, in Robert Bergin's *This Apocalyptic Age*, pages 118 - 122).

And here let us note the admonition of the late Pope Paul VI at the end of the Catechetical Synod of 1977 in Rome:

Faithfulness to the deposit of Revelation clearly demands that no essential truth of Faith should be passed over in silence. The people entrusted to our care has the sacred and inalienable right to receive the Word of God, the entire Word of God. Please note the words, "sacred and inalienable right"!

The prevalent idea.

But when anyone advises the complaining parents to protest to the Bishop there comes a withdrawal and a silence. This is something that is not done. Sometimes there will be quoted an adage of Celtica, "Leave God's anointed to themselves even when they are wrong". Here this adage is misapplied, extended to cover a situation in which the pastors are teaching false doctrine. It is implied that in such case the Catholic people should continue in an attitude of "silent obedience", should confine themselves to praying to God that things may be set right. But how can such a quietist attitude safeguard the Faith of their children from the daily assaults upon it?

And this attitude is not confined to Celtica, for Anne Roche in her book *The Gates of Hell* recounts precisely the same phenomenon throughout the U.S.A. and Canada. In fact, the phenomenon seems to be world-wide - except for such areas as France where there is a tradition of debate in Church matters - everywhere the ordinary Catholics express themselves as opposed to the "changes", but receive in non-protest the new episcopal decrees.

Thus, contrary to the ancient teaching and tradition, there has come, silently, in the last few centuries a doctrine of unconditional obedience to the hierarchy, this accompanied by what one priest author terms, "an idealization of the clergy". It is not a formal heresy, in any sense a doctrine defined; rather it is a vast influence, permeating everywhere, like that quasi-Jansenism which for so long affected us.

In the schools the record of the bishops in the Henrician era was politely glossed over. There were frequent admonitions about opposing the clergy, but here no definitions or qualifications were made. Whilst the bishops, far above the ordinary clergy, were depicted as Moses like figures, of such awesomeness that their every pronouncement was above all questioning.

The present writer does not recall any warning being given, on what to do should the hierarchs become heresiarchs if history should repeat itself. As one Italian journal recently put it, "The English Catholics obeyed their bishops and became Anglicans". Nor does the writer ever remember the warning of St. Paul being referred to.

These ideas and their implications seem to amount to a doctrine that the true Catholic attitude is that of a silent obedience, unconditionally, and that even to think of questioning a hierarch as to his orthodoxy would be a sin of "disrespect": in short, **the hierarch himself becomes the font of truth.** And is not this the very thing St. Paul warned us about in Scripture?

This body of attitudes will be recognised by Catholics of the old school as those of the prevailing atmosphere. And for those who might doubt, let them ask any of the ordinary Catholics, even today,

if they would be prepared to join in a protest to a bishop?

Also in modern times there seems to have come a vast tightening-up of the bishop's bureaucratic machine. No meeting of Catholics can take place without his consent, without his representative being present, and much the same rules seem to apply to any meeting of priests; any grass-roots protests are stifled at the outset. And should any outlet be sought in the columns of the Catholic press, then a hint is generally sufficient, for these organs are 90p.c. dependent on church-door sales.

It is an amazing phenomenon, in the light of St. Paul's warning in Scripture, and considering the great mutation into Anglicanism, that no one seems to have thought of incorporating a safety valve into the structure. Thus, the machine designed to implement orthodoxy can be turned round to effect its destruction.

In passing, might one point out that a canonised Saint, Joan of Arc, wished to protest to the Pope against the acts of the local hierarchs. But her protest was suppressed.

SUMMARY

When this subject is broached (of protest by the parents and laity) there sometimes comes a formidable reaction: that the Church is monarchic by its very nature, and can never be "democratic". But this is but to confuse the issue. The fact is, that the Church is a unique society, not of the nature of any secular society, and, therefore, these political analogies are quite useless. And, it need hardly be pointed out, that the right of Catholic parents to protest for orthodoxy, to appeal to the teaching Magisterium of the Holy See, is completely opposite to that modern fad about the Church's teaching being determined by some consensus of opinion. We may leave these objections aside.

In the end all discussion boils down to this, does, or does not, the warning of St. Paul and the constant tradition of the Church give to Catholic parents the right of protest in defense of the Faith of their children? The answer is obvious.

It will thus be seen, in the light of this constant Catholic tradition, that it is the demand for silent obedience to the hierarch, no matter what he teaches, that is the revolt.

In view of the continuing crisis, as *Osservatore Romano* describes it, would it not now be timely for a massive petition from parents to be presented to the new Pope

J. G. C.

Men Devolve into Monkeys

This, quite seriously, is the declaration of a prominent Evolutionist, Dr. Geoffrey Bourne, Primate Research Centre, Yerkes University. It was reported in *Modern People* of April 18th, 1976.

It seems Dr. Bourne was stunned by the declaration of Dr. Leakey - of Kenya skulls fame - that remains of fully-fledged man had been discovered antedating by a million years "man's ancestors". Therefore, he decided that his new doctrine was the only way to fit "the facts" of Evolution.

In truth, each day the Evolution industry becomes madder and merrier. One is forced to ask, if none of the great "ancestors of man" were really man's ancestor, who, then, was "man's ancestor"? Obviously, it could not have been a matter of generation from the animal world. Therefore - quite seriously in these terms - "man's ancestor" must have been a spiritual being, one of those "gods" who had arrived on one of the flying-saucers of Mr. Von Daniken. The descendants of the god-like being - 'pon my soul, by what wife? - during those aeons of time lost their Olympian joie de vivre and devolved into monkeys. In any kind of reasoning - leaving God out of it, of course - if man did not come through the animal generations he must have come about in this way.

This poses quite a problem for the promoters of Catholic Evolutionist catechetics, the progressive clergy, the nuns (and Karl Rahner, plodding away, wondering if Original Sin can be reconciled with man's multiple ancestry from the monkeys. And here it is the monkeys which have descended from man! They have already destroyed the traditional Catholic teaching in favour of Evolution; and now their new catechetics are already out of date, opposed to "the findings of science".

Our catechetical "experts" know that they must accept "the findings of science", for they have told the children *ad nauseum* that such are the basis of everything. It will be interesting to see how they present the "Message of Salvation" in terms of man's Devolution to the monkeys.

Or have they not yet heard of the latest pronouncement of "science"?

BOOK REVIEW

MAN'S ORIGINS

by Anthony Michael Fides.

Augustine Publishing Co., Chawleigh, Chulmleigh, Devcn. Price 30p

This pamphlet is by a Catholic priest who chooses to write under *nom de plume* for reasons of his pastoral work. It is, in fact, a work of great significance, for it is the first outright attack upon the whole theory of Evolution by a Catholic priest in these Islands, that is, as far as the present writer can recollect. Likewise, Augustine Publishing Co. seem to be the first Catholic publishing house to sponsor such a work, and they are to be commended for their courage.

The pamphlet is really a book in condensed form. First, the author exposes what Evolution is: the explanation of a universe without God. After this he shows the unsoundness of the general Darwinian postulates, the beneficent mutations, the Survival of the Fittest, and so on. (Here there might have been a little more explanation of the pathological nature of all known mutations).

Next the author tackles the matter of the famed Fossil Record. An excellent, very clear, table illustrates the point, that the gaps between the kinds are evident, that there is simply no record of the intermediate creatures which are necessary to give reality to the Evolution postulate.

A very important table is also appended giving the list of the forgeries and hoaxes concerning the "hominoids"; and this is a most important aspect, for here the truth is still suppressed and the students left in ignorance. This table gives in chronological order the procession of the hoaxes, and gives a potted history of the discovery of each one.

It is good to see that this author realises the importance of the arguments advanced for a long age of the earth, the millions of years old dating of the rocks, etc.; for it is in this business that so many modern students acquire that "feeling", that Evolution is all around us, a supremely evident fact of nature. Examples are here given to show that the various dating methods - to the contrary of what the public are informed - are all inconclusive, and often contradictory. For instance, the lava beds of the 19th century eruptions in Hawaii have been dated by these methods to show an age of 20 million years, whilst, on the other hand, the decay in the earth's magnetic field would seem to show the age of the earth to be one of around 10,000 years.

It is indeed a very comprehensive treatment for such small bulk. And, recollecting the admonition of Pope Pius XII, that Evolution is not proved and that both sides of the question must be presented, this would seem an excellent text for the group discussions which are now the mode in teaching of catechetics.

DAYLIGHT PUBLICATIONS

WHERE IS EVOLUTION? the well known "Pink" leaflet, £1 per 100

THE FLOOD OF NOAH SCIENTIFICALLY INVESTIGATED. 10p

TEILHARD DE CHARDIN SPEAKS FOR HIMSELF. 10p

NOAH'S FLOOD, FACT OR MYTH? £2 per 100

Copies obtainable from J. Campbell, 5 Wallace Ave., Stevenston, Ayrshire, Scotland.