

DAYLIGHT

ORGAN OF THE COUNTER - EVOLUTION GROUP

Editor and Secretary:
John G. Campbell
5 Wallace Avenue
STEVENSTON
Ayrshire
Scotland

Patrons: The Immaculate Conception
St. Joseph and St. Michael Archangel

June - July 1978

Annual Subscription £2.

CONTENTS

EDITOR'S LETTER

CURRENT EVENTS

EVOLUTION - A FAIRY TALE FOR ADULTS - Vatican Radio Broadcast

GOD'S WORD? by Veronica King

LIBERATION THEOLOGY

MARXIANA IN IRISH SCHOOLS by Richard Power

CASSETTE REVIEW by T. MacC.

PRO FIDE BOOK LIST

CREATION by Mary Carr

EDITOR'S LETTER

Dear Readers,

God bless all here! With this issue **Daylight** is twelve months old. It commenced with only one certain subscriber, and now we are happy to say that, D.G., our readers are throughout England, Scotland and Ireland, in France, in Canada and the U.S.A., in Brazil, in South Africa and Australia.

To date we have published 6 issues of the Newsletter, 4 supplements, and the now well known Pink leaflet, with another leaflet in preparation. Your attention is respectfully drawn to the Pink leaflet; it was first rushed out to give the children an answer to their Evolutionist teachers; but it has now been taken up by the academics; and has been reprinted in three prestige magazines. This gives on a single leaf the summarised exposure of Evolution, and it is ideal for handing out or for enclosing in a letter.

Here we have to express our gratitude to all readers, and in particular to contributors and to colleagues and promoters, who have made the task so much easier. At the same time, the need is for more promoters, say, to distribute some leaflets or a few extra copies of **Daylight**.

And not forgetting our gratitude to our glorious Patrons above.

Yours sincerely,

The Editor.

CURRENT EVENTS

A historic broadcast.

On April 5th of this year Radio Vatican gave a broadcast which was a downright de-hoaxing of the whole Evolutionist business. The significance of this can hardly be over-estimated, and, having now obtained the script, we make it the main feature of this present issue (ps. 2 & 3).

Creation conference in London.

A letter from Mr. David Watson, the Creationist author, informs us of a Creation Conference to be held in Central Hall Westminster on Sept. 16. An interesting programme of lectures is being presented, and readers in the nearby areas should find the occasion to be of great interest. Do, please, make a note in your diary! We hope to have **Daylight** literature on display at this venue.

Cardinal Hume on Euthanasia.

At a meeting of the Catholic Doctors Guild (**Universe**, May 12) Cardinal Hume, warning of the danger of Euthanasia, advocated the building of "many more houses for the old and dying". It will thus be seen that the warnings in early issues of **Daylight** on the Euthanasia lobby were certainly not scare mongering.

Is it necessary to point out, once again, that both abortion and euthanasia are but logical developments of the doctrine propounded by Charles Darwin, that man is essentially of the animal kind.

Ireland.

As pointed out in previous issues, the world struggle is at present focussed on this ancient stronghold of the Faith, probably the only country in Europe, if not the world, to ban the sale of contraceptives. Now there has sprung up, seemingly from nowhere a powerful lobby demanding the sale of these objects, plus legislation favourable to the homosexuals, and with a demand for abortion in the background. This lobby is represented in the public life and with curious allies in the media. It seems to be lavishly financed, and Irish correspondents state that money is pouring in from the USA. for this propaganda. Indeed, there are mysterious forces at work.

Visitor to Scotland.

The **Scots Catholic Observer** reported the bestowal of an honorary degree by Glasgow University of Fr. Raymond E. Brown, the Professor of New Testament in Union Theological Seminary, New York, "one of the world's most distinguished theological colleagues". The report continues, "He delighted an invited audience at St. Peter's College Cardross, on Monday evening with an exposition on the Gospel of John."

One might wonder how a Catholic priest could tone down Catholic teaching to make it acceptable for lectures in an "inter-denominational theological college." But, in fact, Fr. Brown - unless an identical twin - is none other than the Catholic theologian who in his **The Virginal Conception and Bodily Resurrection** casts doubts upon both the Virgin Birth and the Resurrection. (vide **How Innocent is Father Brown?** by Fr. L. E. Whatmore, price 10p., International Catholic Priests' Association, White House, Whitesmith, Lewes, Sussex). So, Fr. Brown will feel quite at home among fellow Liberal theologians.

One might also wonder why this Modernist cleric was invited to lecture at the Glasgow Seminary at Cardross. But then, Fr. Brown's views are fully in accord with the works of the late Professor Barclay, the Glasgow Protestant Liberal, who also denies the Virgin Birth, the Resurrection, and who, in fact, considers the Apostles' Creed itself to be merely "poetic and symbolic"; works which are considered of value in some quarters in the Church.

Brazil

The Brazil correspondent of the **Telegraph**, Michael Field, reports that clerics in the two Pontifical Universities there form the umbrella for the banned Communist Party of Brazil. This report supports pertinently the argument in **Liberation Theology**, p.4.

Australia

A correspondent's cry from Queensland illustrates the world wide Evolutionist propaganda within the Church: her children came home from the Catholic primary school full of the man-from-monkey legend. She has been referred to another Queenslander, the doughty anti-Evolution writer, Wallace Johnson of Brisbane.

EVOLUTIONISM - A Fairy Tale for Adults

(The script of this broadcast is that of an article by Fr. Valentine Long UFM published in the *Homilectic & Pastoral Review*. It is not possible here to print this script in full, but extracts are given which embody Fr. Long's main points : Ed.)

Fr. Long compares the Evolutionist theologian with the rider of a tiger, and describes his dilemmas:

"He must hold on to its traditional dogmas, while, at the same time, admitting that nothing remains static. He gets round the difficulty with a self-complacency that might well put a smile on the tiger's face. He keeps the dogmas, as he says, but only reinterprets them out of their old meaning to give them a sense they did not have before. No longer reasoning within the confines of sound doctrine, he does his speculating from within the confines of something quite different. Evolutionism has swallowed him alive.

"In its confinement he breathes and thinks and has his being. He would accordingly like to believe that a whole generation of better advanced apes graduated into men and women. But there stands in his way the dreadful thought that, if Adam's body did evolve from a lower animal, it is the only one that did, for the Church dogmatically teaches that all the rest of the human race sprang from him as a common ancestor.....

"But that does not deter the process theologian from broaching the question: May not Adam, in the singular, as Genesis records him, be construed as meaning a widespread group of Adams? The Church replies with a stern prohibition. 'No Catholic can hold', writes her supreme magisterium, Pope Pius XII, 'that Adam is merely a symbol for a number of first parents'. Polygenesis in *Humani Generis* as well as in the decrees of the Councils, stands condemned.

"Oddly enough the process theologian who dares to question the dogmas of the Church never questions the theory of Evolution. To him it is more than a theory. It is with him the one immovable truth in a world of constant change. It offers his trend of reasoning the motive power to proceed at all. Nor does he ever seem to fear that he labors under a grand illusion. Rather than be embarrassed, he is likely to turn a deaf ear to this quip from Harvard's eminent anatomist, Thomas Dwight, 'We have now the remarkable spectacle that just when many scientific men are agreed that there is no part of the Darwinian system that is of any great influence, and that, as a whole, the theory is not only unproved but impossible, the ignorant half-educated masses have acquired the idea that it is to be accepted as a fundamental fact.' "

Regarding the eminent scientists who have declared against Evolution Fr. Long comments:

"It is astonishing, not that hundreds of prominent scientists have declared Evolution simply unprovable, but that the official textbooks either do not know of these protests, or, knowing, are determined not to let their readers know. But the deprived readers can easily enough find out by an independent reading in private. They might well start with the works of the great ornithologist Douglas Dewar, who describes the educational conspiracy to warp the mind of youth as "monstrous".

"Douglas Dewar, F.Z.S. devotes all of four books to his refutation of the evolutionary theory. The most elaborate of them he entitles *The Transformist Illusion*. In it the author leaves no stone unturned. But it is in his *Man a Special Creation* that he writes out his clearest of statements for the layman who would like to know as briefly as possible why the Darwinian theory breaks down: 'There appears to exist no mechanism whereby a new type of organism can arise from an existing one. This explains why all breeds of dogs, pigeons, etc., despite their great diversity, are still dogs, pigeons, etc.'

"Dr. W. R. Thompson, a biologist of international repute, has pointed out that for want of real proof the evolutionists resorted to fraud. He cites *Pitldown Man*, the original human jawbone which was replaced by the jawbone of an ape. He next refers to the equally detestable hoax, the now discredited Java Man, the perpetrators of which pummelled away at the skull, that of a gibbon, so as to make it look half human and half anthropoid. Other hoaxes followed no less bizarre, so that Dr. Thompson (in his foreward to the centennial *Origin of Species*) declared, 'The success of Darwinism was accompanied by a decline in scientific integrity'.

"The frauds, with their mad effort to vindicate the Darwinian thesis, bespeak an utter desperation. Paul Lemoine, Maurice Vernet, L. Vialleton, prominent among the scientists of their country and

of the world, reject the thesis and ridicule the deceptions to promote it. Louis Bounoure, the National Director of Scientific Research in France, and once an avowed evolutionist, now shares the conviction of his colleague, Jean Rostand, who does not pull the punch of his words, 'Evolutionism is a fairy tale for adults. This theory has helped nothing in the progress of science. It is useless.' "

Among the scientists opposed to Evolution, Fr. Long cites, the Canadian geologist William Dawson ('It is one of the strangest phenomena of humanity; it is utterly destitute of proof') Sir James Gray, Professor Emeritus of Geology, Cambridge, The Nobel prize-winners, Robert Millikan and Peter Medewar, Sir Ambrose Fleming, H. Nilsson, Professor of Genetics, Lund University, and Albert Fleischman, Professor of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy, University of Erlangen. (A further list of such scientists is given in the April Daylight leaflet, *Where is Evolution?*)

The reason behind it.

Fr. Long now explores the reason for the world wide grip of Evolution:

"Then why should this defeated Evolutionism retain its prestige in the official textbooks? Arnold Lunn cites a teacher of science who in a statement may have let the cat out of the bag. 'At a meeting of the British Association in 1929', writes Lunn, 'Professor D.M. Watson remarked that the reason for the universal acceptance of evolution was that the only alternative, Special Creation, was clearly untenable.'

"Professor Sedgewick of Cambridge University (Darwin's old tutor) is quoted as finding Darwin's concoction, 'a dish of rank materialism cleverly cooked and served up, merely to make us independent of the Creator.' In total agreement with which Dr. William Tinkle, a specialist in genetics, developed into a treatise his suspicion that disbelief in direct creation does not come from a study of the facts, but from the modern prejudice against the supernatural.

"Make of it what you will, the New Theology also betrays an aversion to the supernatural. It cultivates that aversion. Its pre-occupation is with this world to the neglect of eternity. It apparently feels a compulsion to rationalise away all mystery in the transcendent truths of the Faith. It must 'demythologise'.

"Dr. Henry M. Morris, President of the Creation Research Society states that origins is not, strictly, science.' How could it be? No scientists from the universities were there to see life begin or to watch the various forms spring into being. 'Therefore', comes the conclusion, 'the problem of origins is simply incapable of solution by scientific means'.

"Pope Pius XII had reason to point an accusing finger to those who, to foster atheism, 'support the monistic and pantheistic notion that the whole world is subject to evolution. Communists eagerly seize upon this theory in the hope of depriving souls of every idea of God.'

"The genuine Marxists admitted as much from the first. In a letter to his friend Karl Marx, Dec. 12, 1859, Friedrich Engels writes: 'Incidentally, I am just reading Darwin and find him excellent. One side of theology' (insisting on a personal, transcendent Creator) 'had not been smashed yet. This has happened now.' After Marx had time to read the *Origin of Species* he replied to Engels, 'This is the book which contains the basis in natural science far our view'.

"Here at last was what the atheists and agnostics of the world had been looking for: a convenient scientist and fellow infidel to assure them that man evolved from a lower animal and that, indeed, the very first forms of life came of themselves, out of nothing, by spontaneous generation. **There was no need for outside motivation from a transcendent Creator. He did not exist. Nature did it all, and did it on its own.**

"What one would not expect is that the process theologian, who says he is a Christian, registers neither anger nor sorrow over the degrading success of evolutionism. It does not appear to bother him at all. Fond of discovering the 'myths', such as our First Parents, and prone to disallow the actuality of its recorded facts, such as Our Lord's bodily resurrection, he yet finds nothing to quarrel with in Darwin. He takes in silence the embarrassments of Neanderthal Man, Pekin Man, Java Man and Pitldown Man, whose fraudulent portraits disgrace the pages of the handy textbooks. What scientist Paul Lemoine has said of evolution - 'in reality, despite appearances no one believes in it any longer' - does not in the least disconcert

the theologian of progress. He has his mind made up. Evolution remains to him sacrosanct.

Their grand object revealed. (Fr. Long continues.)

"Thus we have theologian Leslie Dewart of Toronto University writing the commendatory foreword to a book which argues that Catholicism has no choice but to evolve into Communism. Title of the Book? **From Anathema to Dialogue**. Name of the author? Roger Garaudy, the French Communist philosopher, who in the book praises Teilhard for his dismissal of Original Sin, and quotes Teilhard to the effect, that the eventual merging of the Christian God of the Above with the Marxist God of the Ahead 'is the only God whom we shall in the future be able to adore in spirit and truth'.

"Not much less shockingly does Leslie Dewart write in one of his own books: 'The evolution of man has brought about a change in the very nature of religious belief'. Evolution dominates all. Using traditional terms this processor forces into them meanings to justify his preconceived promise. In another of his books the ongoing

gibberish rolls glibly along: 'Religion is the conceptual, cultural form of the experience of reality as such.'

"And that this experienced reality is in constant flux, no process theologian will ever let you forget, so that each doctrine of the Creed has to be understood according to the advanced mind of the age. In other words, says Leslie Dewart in unison with his colleagues the Church must submit to history - by which they mean 'historicism'. Only the dogma of evolution escapes the process. That admits of no reinterpretation.

"Now do you see how that monster Evolution has swallowed up the process (Evolutionist) theologian? The enclosed victim reasons out his theology within confines of his own desires, let them be ever so imaginary. He is quite self satisfied. He gets his work done, not realising his plight."

(Cassettes of the above article obtainable from Cardinal Communications, Box 34, New London, Conn., U.S.A. Price \$2.50.)

THE WORD OF GOD

by Veronica King

The Bible has proved itself to be a reliable and accurate Record of the most ancient historical events.

The fact is that for many large areas of ancient history the Bible is the only record we have.

Archaeology, excavation and honest historical research have proved the Bible to be an absolutely reliable **source book**.

And yet - when writing modern text books for schools and colleges modern historians disregard this source of material completely and treat it as if it were non-existent!

This is unscientific, unscholarly, and nothing less than intellectual dishonesty -

To conclude that the human race sprang from cave-dwellers or has evolved from lower beings is a **completely unwarranted conclusion**.

In fact the Bible gives a very different early history of man. We have in fact at the very beginning of the human race, various types of farming, industries, Arts and inventions, Music and Poetry, and the higher things of life which are found only in an advanced

stage of civilisation.

The inventions of Jubal and Tubalcain were basic, and must be counted the greatest inventions of all time.

It is one of the remarkable facts of history that the oldest civilisations of the world known to us, i.e. the ones found in the Valley of the Nile and Euphrates, have peculiar similarities, and all are separated by only a comparatively short time from the Age of Noah.

In the remotest period of which records survive we find Sumeria and Egypt exhibiting a degree of civilisation that is inexplicable except on the theory that they had received most of their secrets from "the world that perished" in the Flood of Noah as a priceless heritage.

The Pyramids illustrate the triumphs of Architectural Science and reveal an advanced knowledge of Geometry and Mathematics. Sculpture and statuary reached a perfection in Egypt, in hardest granite, which later ages never surpassed. The Army and Civil Service were minutely organised.

Earliest post-diluvial advanced civilisations are found also in India and China.

So, please why, this amazing prejudice against the Bible?

LORD THOU HAST SAID IT AND THY WORD IS TRUE.....

LIBERATION THEOLOGY

Pope Paul VI in his dramatic phrase, "the smoke of Satan within the Church" could hardly have indicated more clearly the presence of fundamental revolt therein. Indeed, anyone who reads the daily newspapers, even anyone who watches television, must be aware of the theologians who stay within the Church only to dispute its most fundamental doctrines. The particular reference here is to Liberation Theology - already indicated in Fr. Long's article - certainly the most thorough going of all the modern heresies, but one which is not yet sufficiently understood.

Recently we have heard protests against the imprisonment of priests and nuns in Latin America. Religious who stoutly maintain that they have been crusading solely for "human rights" and to be free from any Communist involvement. But, at the same time, we note that only certain priests and nuns have been singled out, that the Church, all along, has been, not only unhampered in its mission, but actually favoured. That is, if there were really Marxists among the clergy, the pieces would fit together. There is such a Marxist movement, and its name is Liberation Theology.

This Liberation Theology is, in fact, Teilhard's Evolutionism of religion: the "Roman phylum" must undergo a mutation and then form part of the great convergence and movement of the beneficent forces of the world towards some final utopian state. Like "an angel of light" this new theology proposes its first step, that the Church should espouse the cause of social justice for the poor. So far so good. Then comes the next and block-buster statement - that the Gospel cannot be preached until the Social Revolution has taken place! Which is quite a different thing? And then there comes the final revelation, that this Social Revolution means **nothing else but the establishment of the Communist State according to Karl Marx**. And that is how it is done.

There is simply no doubt on the matter, for the main exponents of this theology, still undisturbed in their professorial chairs, openly proclaim it, their slogan being that their must be "a meeting of Christ and Marx in history". Therefore, it is hardly open to doubt that there must be adherents of this doctrine among the

"progressive" clergy today. Indeed, we saw this in Chile where prominent clergy openly espoused the doctrines of the Marxist dictator, Allende.

Can it be said in excuse that these clergy must attach themselves to the Marxists in the battle against International Capitalism? No, for they have the far more dynamic Catholic social doctrines, which, in fact, provide far better antidotes to the social evils which they deplore. As clerics, they are surely not ignorant of this body of social reform advocated by the Popes since Leo XIII. We must take it that this attachment to Marx and the rejection of Catholic social teaching is one of deliberate choice, corresponding, in fact, to their Evolutionist theology, the re-interpretation of Catholicism in terms of a mystical Naturalism, Jesus Christ and Marx becoming the twin liberators of mankind!

Or can it be said that these clerical protestors are but vague idealists ready to protest against violations of human rights wherever such may occur? But it has been pointed out time and again, that the sustained persecution of their co-religionists, the denial of the most basic human rights, in the Communist countries evokes from them not even a muted word of protest: they do not journey to Cuba to protest, nor to Viet Nam, from whence the desperate people flee in open boats to the China Sea. This condonation by silence of the Marxist holocausts - not one word of expostulation or pity - is the most sinister and coldblooded thing about these Catholic Marxists. By their silence they themselves participate in the handing over of their fellow Christians to their fate, and amply demonstrate their "realism", that the Social Revolution, which they espouse, will require its quota of "liquidations". After all, one cannot have an omelette without breaking eggs!

The Catholic philosopher, Deitrich von Hildebrand, declared a few years ago, that all the signs pointed to "a compact fifth-column of Judas priests, bishops and even Cardinals" within the Church. Indeed, the signs do point that way. And of all the "smoke of Satan within the Church" movements that of the Liberation Theology is the most concealed on the part of its ordinary exponents, and

thus the most dangerous. A great wariness is, therefore, required on the part of the Catholic when he hears certain appeals for human rights and liberation.

J. G. C.

MARXIANA IN IRISH SCHOOLS

by Richard Power

Communists infiltrate their philosophy through lies and myths. Their secret lies in what Pius XI called "a diabolically efficient system of propaganda which is also encouraged by various secret forces which have long been plotting to destroy the Christian social order".

It is disturbing to find in a text-book in use in some of our secondary schools Communist lies and myths.

Pupils are taught that Marx lived in poverty; that his most famous works are "The Communist Manifesto" and "Das Kapital". Pupils are taught that "he has made a wider impact on the twentieth century than any other man, for nearly half the world now seeks to organise itself on a Marxist pattern. Doubtless Marx would be horrified at some of the practises carried out in his name, but this applies to every great figure."

Pupils are confronted with pictures of Marx and Engels and a cartoon showing workers "being ground down to produce goods and profits".

If Marx lived in poverty, his poverty was not of the Franciscan kind. To Engels, who supported him generously, Marx complained of "the fabulous way money vanishes The children appear to have inherited a lust for drink from their father.....showing a preference for Bordeaux and port".

"The Communist Manifesto" is simply the updating and codification by Marx and Engels of revolutionary plans and principles set down seventy years earlier by Adam Weishaupt, founder of the Order of the Illuminati.

Why did Engels, "a rich manufacturer accustomed to regard workmen as machine fodder and cannon fodder", give such generous support, financial and intellectual, to Marx, who posed as the champion of the exploited workers? Engels described Marx as a remarkable monster possessed by thousands of devils. Between them they launched a religion the roots of which are deeper than any economic theory or practise, a devilish fight "to abolish all religion and all morals", to borrow words from their "Communist Manifesto".

Surely, the "great figure" who declared the wish "to avenge himself against the One who rules above" and "to draw mankind into the bottomless pit" — Marx believed in God and in hell — would be horrified at nothing except the failure of his devilish fight.

Pupils must be told that the figure operating the grinder in the cartoon is truly representative of Engels, who employed sweated labour at his cotton factories, flagrantly disregarding the Factory Acts.

To give our children a grasp of the true nature of what is known as "Communism" let them see in Dr. Anthony Sutton's book, "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" the cartoon copied from the "St. Louis Post-Dispatch" (1911) depicting Marx surrounded by an admiring audience of Wall St. financiers. We have the evidence of contemporary history that "Communism" has the same cynical contempt and disregard for the workers as Capitalism in its soulless and antichristian form.

Let us explode the myth that there is bitter, unswerving enmity between all capitalists and all Marxists. As Dr. Sutton reveals, there has been a continuing, albeit concealed, alliance between international political capitalists and international revolutionary socialists — to their mutual advantage.

Above all, let us encourage among children devotion to Our Lady of Fatima. Compliance with her requests is the only sure answer to the lies and myths — "the diabolically efficient system of propaganda" — against which Pius XI warned.

What is not disclosed to the children is that the "scientific basis" of Marxism, as Marx declared, is none other than the lie and hoax of Evolution, the doctrine declaring the dethronement of God and the purely animal nature of man. Whilst this ferocious rebel against God, advocating a world satanic order, is presented as a modern St. Francis.

(As this was going to press, we received a pamphlet exposing Amnesty International's falsehoods about Chile: a French journalist went there and actually interviewed the persons supposed to have been liquidated. *Dossier on Chile* 10p p.p., Approaches, 1 Waverly Place, Saltcoats, Scotland. Ed.)

Creation

by Mary Carr

In the beginning, God's creation:
Energy-matter, time and space;
Non-life and life. Complete formation
Ended with the human race.

Proof? Science says, "See it, or repeat it."
Now? See the world made new? That's smart!
Set up the origins? How neat it
Would be to replay the start.

Beyond our powers of observation,
Before man's control of the earth began
Can evolution, can creation
Then be proved as true by man?

No scientific confirmation.
So let reason judge the strife.
Does evolution, does creation
Contradict the laws of life?

CASSETTE REVIEW

A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing (The Truth on Evolution) as its title suggests, tells us what we ought to know about the subject of evolution, and how its uncontrolled application to everything, including theology, is really a replacement for God! Pope Pius the twelfth, recognizing the danger, stipulated that in all discussions on evolution, the facts against it, as well as the facts for it must be given full consideration, but most children go through an evolution-biased education without ever hearing the scientific facts against it.

We trust that this fascinating presentation will provide many with access to the facts Pope Pius XII required to be presented. "A WOLF IN SHEEP'S CLOTHING" Set of two £4.50 postage 20p extra.

C & V Productions, 48 Cambridge Road, Wigmore, Gillingham, Kent. ME8 0JE.

You will have difficulty in switching this cassette off!

T. MacC.

BOOK LIST

There are constant enquiries from readers regarding source books on Evolution and the concerns from which they can be obtained. On enquiry Pro Fide Book Service has given us the present list:

Evolution or Creation by Prof. H. Enoch	£1.80 incl. pstg.
Is Evolution Scientific? by Prof. E. H. Andrews	60p incl. pstg.
The Genesis Flood by Whitcomb and Morris	£4.00 incl. pstg.
The Bible and Modern Science by Prof. H. Morris	£1.35 incl. pstg.
Thirty Theses Against Evolution by Paula Haigh	£1.50 incl. pstg.
The Evolution Hoax Exposed by A. N. Field	£1.65 incl. pstg.
The Origin & Early History of Man by Rev. P. O'Connell	85p
Original Sin in The Light of Modern Science by Rev. P. O'Connell	80p incl. pstg.

What's Wrong With Evolution? by Paula Haigh	85p incl. pstg.
The Case Against Evolution by Wallace Johnson	£1.20 incl. pstg.
Science of Today & The Problems of Genesis by Rev. P. O'Connell	£4.20 incl. pstg.

Scientific Creationism by a team of scientists	£3.45 incl. pstg.
Have You Been Brainwashed? by Duane T. Gish	18p incl. pstg.

Address: Pro Fide Book Service, 39 Blenheim Park Road, South Croydon, Surrey.