

# DAYLIGHT

ORGAN OF THE COUNTER - EVOLUTION GROUP

Editor and Secretary:  
John G. Campbell  
5 Wallace Avenue  
STEVENSTON  
Ayrshire  
Scotland

Patrons: The Immaculate Conception  
St. Joseph and St. Michael Archangel

APRIL 1978

Annual Subscription £2.

## CONTENTS

EDITOR'S LETTER,  
CURRENT EVENTS,  
PROFESSOR HAECKEL'S FORGERIES  
The Catholic Seminaries  
CHURCH ARIAN, CHURCH EVOLUTIONIST.

\*\*\* FATHER NESBITT'S PAMPHLET by Anthony L. Nevard

PASSAGE TO ALASKA by Tir nan Og

BOOK REVIEW by F.M.

## EDITOR'S LETTER

Dear Readers,

God bless all here! and hoping you are now basking in the Spring sunshine, after the long, long winter.

As we have now begun to print quite a few articles by contributors perhaps we should make the customary comment, that such do not necessarily represent the view of the journal. When a writer is against Evolution as such he must be given full expression for his particular viewpoint.

The question has cropped up - To what group in today's Church does *Daylight* belong? The answer is that *Daylight* has no connection whatsoever with any group. Our function is to expose Evolutionist rot within the Church, Modernism in all its manifestations. Whilst we take the liberty, now and again, to make a pithy remark on the passing scene.

This issue, as forecast, is without a supplement, but we have tried to cram in as much interesting matter as possible. Other material, unavoidably, has had to be held over.

Yours sincerely

The Editor

## CURRENT EVENTS

### The Swanwick Conference. A great event. (contributed)

Catholics for the first time were present at the interdenominational Creation Conference, no less than six readers of *Daylight*. We were cordially received, and *Daylight* and our leaflets were exhibited for sale.

It was a magnificent conference for it gave due reverence to Revelation, and then set out to show that modern scientific discoveries have confirmed its amazing accuracy. There were three days of lectures by qualified scientists, and two very impressive films showing the historical truth of Noah's Flood, all very inspiring and convincing to those who had not heard of the facts previously.

Following Pope John's counsel, we can co-operate with fellow Christians in matters that we hold in common. And here were fellow Christians unaffected by the Modernist taint.

Our gratitude goes out to the Evangelical organisers for their splendid effort, from all who love *Daylight* and are benefitting from the careful works of the Creationist scientists. May our Catholic writers continue to contribute to this research and update our science as did Mendel and Vialleton.

### Ireland.

The focus is still on Ireland where a determined Humanist movement, including apostate Catholics plus Catholic dupes of a secularist mentality, is making an all-out effort to legalise the

public sale of contraceptives and, later, abortion; with a genial attitude towards homosexuality thrown in for good measure. A correspondent describes the rot that has set in in the student body, the youth who are now displaying the effects of the new humanist catechetics.

Unfortunately, according to Irish traditionalists, the recent Bishop's statement was lamentably weak. Whilst pointing out that contraception was intrinsically wrong, it also pointed out that not all sins were public crimes - ignoring the question that here is the matter of a public or social act - and practically left it to the somewhat bewildered legislators.

Readers should watch carefully the Irish scene, for by it they will be enabled to assess the power and utter determination of the atheistic forces to destroy every vestige of the Catholic life - and how far the modern bishops will stand up to the attack.

### France.

A correspondent reports the case of a lady pharmacist in Lyons who was fined in the law courts for not supplying the needs of her customers - she had a conscientious objection to supplying contraceptives! Needless to say, we witness no students' demonstrations about this matter.

### The Catholic Press.

The Universe must be complimented on its special supplement dealing with the abortion-euthanasia question, this being brought to the forefront by the SPUC. in the recent Glasgow bye-election. One quote from an American physician must be quoted:

**Your children will kill you because you permitted the killing of their brothers and sisters.**

**Your children will kill you because they will not want to support you in your old age.**

**Your children will kill you for your homes and estates.**

**If a doctor will take money for killing the innocent in the womb, he will kill you with a needle when paid by your children.**

**This is the terrible nightmare you are creating for the future.**

In fact, a strong campaign is already being mounted to legalise euthanasia, and there have been several previous attempts in Parliament. What is being openly advocated here is that at the next General Election Catholics should ignore all other issues and vote for any pro-life candidate who might appear. Or do we no longer believe in the primacy of the spiritual?

### Late item - Human Rights.

The European Court of Human Rights has just announced that the birching of violent criminals in the Isle of Man is an infringement of human rights. Here, then, is the perfect opportunity for SPUC. and other pro-life bodies to appeal to this Court for the human right of the unborn human person of not being legally murdered. Miss Phylis Bowman and others, please note!

# Professor Haeckel's Forgeries

Professor Ernst Haeckel was the great populariser of Darwinism in Germany, a zealot for everything anti-Christian, and an out and out materialist. He is well known as the exponent of embryonic recapitulation. So zealous, in fact, was he in the presentation of this now discredited theory that he did not hesitate to forge plates of human and animal embryos.

This fact is well known to all whose concern is in the great Evolutionist debate, but the Evolutionists try to play down this matter, as did his Evolutionist colleagues at the time, referring to the forgeries as "incorrectly rendered embryological illustrations" - a rose by any other name! One is indebted to Mr. M. Bowden's **Ape Men, Fact or Fallacy** for details of this much hushed-up affair.

The forgeries were pointed out by L. Rutimeyer, Professor of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy University of Basle, in a German scientific review in the year 1868. Following this he was charged with fraud by five professors and appeared before a University court at Jena. He admitted to having "altered" his drawings and was convicted. And here is the report of his attempt at pleading extenuating circumstances:

A small percent of my embryonic drawings are forgeries; those namely, for which the observed material is so incomplete or insufficient as to compel us to fill in and reconstruct the missing-links by hypothesis and comparative synthesis. I should feel utterly condemned and annihilated by the admission, were it not that hundreds of the best observers and biologists lie under the same charge.....

Please note, that Haeckel asserts that such "alterations" were quite common with other searchers for the missing-links. It is hardly necessary to say any more.

Regarding this theory in itself, it is to be noted that it has since been given up by the leading Evolutionists. Professor Hardy in **Evolution as a Process** (edited by Sir J. Huxley) admitted that it is absurd, and Sir Gavin de Beer, Director British Museum, in **Nature**, April, 1965, dismisses the theory in practically the same words. Yet, this theory is still taught to the students, but without any mention of its fraudulent beginnings.

## A long line.

But it is only those who are new to the Evolution controversy who will be surprised at this case - barefaced as it is - for it is but one in the long line of deliberate lies, forgeries and hoaxes. As Dr. W.R. Thompson, Director Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, put it in his startling foreword to the centennial **Origin of Species: The success of Darwinism was accompanied by a decline in scientific integrity.**

There is the long line, of Haeckel's forgeries, those of Piltdown Nebraska Man, Java Man and Peking Man. The mind of the ordinary person balks at the idea of the world media being used to propagate a package of deliberate lies and forgeries, carefully concealing the facts of their exposures. But Evolution is precisely that and that is why the caption **The Liars of Evolution** has been deliberately used; for the use of soft, indeterminate language is one of the modes of Modernism and Evolution, thereby to leave all facts indeterminate and blurred. It is necessary to return to the "hard saying". And is not the word "liar" of authentic New Testament usage?

But, when one really considers it, the situation should evoke no surprise. For Evolution is the doctrine that the world was brought into being and is governed by chance. (One is reminded of the man who admitted that the planetarium could not have been brought into being by chance, but swore before Heaven (sic!) that the planets were the products of chance!) Thus, the Great Lie begins in the very fundament of Evolution.

Evolution is the atheistic explanation of the universe, that everything was brought about by chance, i.e. by dis-order, dis-order which is the mark of Satan: therefore, the Satanic explanation of the universe. And that is the simple reason why the Evolutionist authorities do not scruple to lie, for they are the children of the Father of Lies, of him who was "a murderer from the beginning".

So much "murder" has been committed upon the minds of the young, so much is Evolution now deep-rooted in the minds, that only the "hard saying" can now avail.

---

## The Catholic Seminaries

# CHURCH ARIAN, CHURCH EVOLUTIONIST

"The Church wakened to find itself Arian", as St. Jerome put it. Today the Church has wakened to find itself Evolutionist. And today's heresy - or body of heresies - is a much more radical thing than the adherence to the single Arian heresy; for the present error, though it is presented as Theistic Evolution, draws upon the fundamental atheistic or pantheistic explanation of the universe: the universe creating itself by its own processes. Quite obviously it is a most radical departure, to throw overboard the Church's teaching, from the very beginning, on direct creation by God. Quite obviously it is a most dangerous departure; for we witness the theologians, trying to accommodate themselves to the Evolutionist thesis, now proposing the elimination of Adam (polygenism) and of Original Sin thereby transforming the Faith into a purely naturalistic explanation of things.

Like all other currents of thought within the Church, this business originated in the seminaries, a fact which is well corroborated by various clerical correspondents of the present writer. An aged priest in Britain affirms that Evolution was presented to him in his seminary prior to the first World War. An American friar in his late fifties, states that he was regarded as something of an oddity when he declared to his Professor and fellow students that Evolution was far from being proved. A young priest recounts that his Professor presented polygenism as the most probable explanation of man. Indeed, these examples are themselves corroborated by the fact that most of the young seminarians emerging today are Evolutionist and Teilhardian in their outlook. There can be little doubt that the evil is long-standing and deep-rooted.

The example of the polygenistic professor is an illuminating one. The legend was that the seminaries were arenas of the most acute philosophical and theological debate, but here is an academic prepared to accept the idea that man evolved everywhere, but all evolved in precisely the same type, down to the same ten fingers and ten toes, and all imbued with the idea that they were all members of the one human race. An idea so contrary to all human experience as this is obediently accepted upon the authority of "the scientists". And here is the root error, that of Scientism; that

theology and philosophy must find their bases upon the dicta of "the scientists". It is a complete surrender, and a reversal of all previous teaching.

One aspect of this Evolutionist teaching must be emphasised. The young seminarian is presented, not only with Evolutionism, but with a theology based upon Evolutionism, Evolutionist Theology, and thus, sooner or later, Evolutionism begins to be regarded almost as one of the sacred, theological, truths. So that today we may witness the spectacle of a young cleric being astonished upon hearing Evolution being described as a myth - as if one of the truths of Religion were being challenged!

But what is the most astonishing and serious aspect of this situation is this: that few, if any, of the clerics seem to have heard of the authorities who have presented the case against Evolution. It may be that some have heard of the modern American attack upon the theory, and have blandly dismissed it as simply being another manifestation of "Fundamentalism." However, the root of the matter is elsewhere. As a colleague in this same issue points out, there has been all along a classic line of European authorities against Evolution, instancing Lord Kelvin and Sir Ambrose Philips, Vialleton, Lemoine and Thomas in France, plus eminent Italian authorities - and this to name but a few. It is probably true that, owing to the great suppression in the modern media, that most ordinary men have not heard of such authorities, but the librarians and faculty members of these seats of learning are not ordinary men, and it is difficult to conceive of them being unaware of the published works of these scientists, particularly those of Paris publication.

The truth of the matter seems to be in the warnings of St. Pius X in his **Pascendi** of the persistent infiltrations of the Modernists towards seizing the keys of power within the Church. As St. Pius declared, **They seize upon professorships in the seminaries and universities, and gradually make of them chairs of pestilence.** No warning could be more explicit. One can only conclude that, since the days of St. Pius the seizure of the seminaries has continued with increased pertinacity; so that today we are the witnesses of

an unprecedented takeover. And please remember that it was this same Pope who penetratingly pointed out, re the Modernists, **In this way they pass to what is practically their principal doctrine, namely, evolution.**

Indeed, such is the state of affairs that one encounters young clerics who have never heard of the deliberate forgeries of Evolution (with the possible exception of Piltdown) this to their great embarrassment when shown the proofs. Thus, the great take-over seems to amount to no less than a suppression of quite commonly known facts, so as not to disturb the bases of such theologies as those of Teilhard de Chardin and his followers. The seminaries remain steeped in darkest Darwinist ignorance.

It may be that there are seminaries in certain areas which have avoided the Evolutionist "pestilence", but the samples taken

by the present writer, plus his other encounters, would seem to indicate that the rot is very widespread indeed. Clearly, that which is urgently required is for the Evolutionist Professors to be challenged - Have you any clear evidence that this Evolution, on which you base your theology, is attested in the nature of things? And they should be required to answer those eminent authorities who declare that Evolution is contrary to the facts of their respective sciences.

Meanwhile, let any of the young clerics who have heard some whispers of the truth read up for themselves the exposures of the hoaxes and basic falsehood of Evolution. After all, there can surely be no bar against them verifying the essential rightness of the teaching of the Church throughout the ages.

## "EVOLUTION AND ORIGINAL SIN"

# Father Nesbitt's Pamphlet by Anthony L. Nevard

The pamphlet so named, by Fr. Roger Nesbitt, is one of the Faith series, claiming to present orthodox Catholicism against the Modernist errors; thus, it comes as a surprise to see how far Fr. Nesbitt has departed from the traditional teaching on this subject. It is surprising, and, indeed, alarming.

### Modernism & Fundamentalism

Fr. Nesbitt rightly rejects Modernism, but also something which he terms "Fundamentalism", giving the impression that a literal view of Scripture also constitutes a heresy condemned in the same way as Modernism is condemned! But in the Encyclical, **Humani Generis** of Pope Pius XII we read, paras. 22, 23, "They even use misguided language about the meaning of the sacred books, under which a divine meaning is concealed, and only this divine meaning, they claim is infallible..... the literal sense of Scripture goes for nothing, these false teachers are agreed..... and thus they tell us all the difficulties will disappear - difficulties felt only by those who cling to a literal interpretation." Now, if language has any fixed meaning, here is an instruction that the ordinary narrative, literal, meaning is that which is to be followed (unless, of course, where a poetic or symbolic meaning is obviously indicated). So, will Fr. Nesbitt please note that "Fundamentalism" is not a parallel heresy to Modernism.

### Are we free to teach Evolution?

Fr. Nesbitt asserts that we are so free, giving as his authority **Humani Generis** (and an unreferenced statement by Pope Paul). Here let us see what **Humani Generis** does say, in paras. 35 & 36, speaking of the liberty to investigate the subject, "There are some who take rash advantage of this liberty of debate, by treating the subject as if the whole matter were closed - as if the discoveries hitherto made, and the arguments based on them, were sufficiently certain to prove beyond doubt the development of the human body from other living matter already in existence. They forget, too, that there are certain references to the subject in the sources of divine revelation, which call for the greatest caution and prudence in discussing it." Thus, the Pope actually warns us against teaching Evolution, i.e., as a FACT, which Fr. Nesbitt, in his various works certainly does. Fr. Nesbitt's interpretation of **Humani Generis** is certainly remarkable.

### Adams and Eves.

Regarding polygenism, Fr. Nesbitt states, "Here we need to be cautious in view of the caution of the Magisterium." "Caution" is certainly an understatement, for here is what **Humani Generis** does say: "Original sin is the result of a sin committed, in actual historical fact, by an individual man named Adam, and it is a quality native to all of us, only because it has been handed down by descent from him (cf. Rom. v 12 - 19; Conc. Trid. sess. v, can. 1 - 4).

"By an individual man named Adam" - Pius XII could hardly have made it clearer. But Fr. Nesbitt displays his "caution" simply by throwing this solemn injunction out of the window. There was not a world wide Evolution of the monkeys into men (God seemingly suspending the process!) but there was an Evolution of "5 or 6 pairs within the same stem or phylum", pamphlet p.6., and then it continues, "then it would seem quite possible that if one or two sinned this would affect the rest so that they would sin also.

What has one to make of this kind of logic? There were 5 or 6 pairs of Adams and Eves in the one neighbourhood, but, after seeing the first pair incurring the dread punishment for Original Sin, the other pairs went nonchalantly on to repeat the same sin and incur the same dread penalty - quite obviously the latter Adams

would have fled the possibility of sin like the very plague!

And it is pertinent to ask by what facts of "scientific knowledge" (on which he bases his Evolutionist case) did Fr. Nesbitt glean his facts about this Garden of Eden inhabited by a group of Adams? And does he not see that the intervention of God - on a universal scale - to prevent the monkeys of the world evolving into men would be as much a direct act as that Direct Creation of man which Genesis recounts? Does Fr. Nesbitt not see that once Direct Act is admitted as necessary the whole Evolutionist case falls to pieces.

However, what is disturbing is that Fr. Nesbitt is determined to insert some polygenism into his thesis, in spite of the teaching of Pope Pius XII and the Council of Trent on "an individual man named Adam".

### The Human soul.

In this same **Humani Generis** Pope Pius XII declares that the immediate creation of the human soul is something "which the Catholic Faith imposes on us." Again, no statement could be clearer.

But on p.14 of his pamphlet Fr. Nesbitt pictures for us the Evolution ascent in which "the highest animals" are able "to use tools in a primitive sense". Then comes a "supreme mutation" with a vastly greater intelligence. And only after this - "At this point Man is created by God infusing the soul into the supreme mutation". But one has to ask, would this tool-making creature plus not already possess the three powers of the soul, "memory, understanding and will"? That is, that the "soul" infused by God is some spiritual something added, and that the substantial man is already there, already evolved by the Evolution processes?

Fr. Nesbitt does not enter into detail about the intelligence of these imaginary creatures - scientific knowledge! - but, in the ordinary meaning of words, to the ordinary reader, they already seem to possess a substantial rationality. It would therefore appear that Fr. Nesbitt is proposing some novel concept of the soul, one in which substantial rationality is already there prior to the infusion of the "soul" by God - all this to fit in with the Evolution thesis.

### The sum and substance of it.

That Evolution is "scientific knowledge", that everything must be made to fit in with it, is Fr. Nesbitt's constant theme and his whole case. In his C.T.S. pamphlet **Evolution and the Existence of God** he continually reiterates this message - "That the universe has evolved through time few would be prepared to deny." And on p.2 of this pamphlet he states, "Many books contain the evidence for Evolution. There is a good account in P.G. Fothergill's **Evolution and Christians**." But nowhere is there a single mention of the many eminent scientists who have declared Evolution to be opposed to the facts of their respective sciences, to be a complete fallacy!

It is true that the whole atheist media suppresses any facts contrary to Evolution, and that, consequently, many people still accept the truth of that oft-repeated statement - "all the scientists accept Evolution." But Fr. Nesbitt is a writer on the subject, and should have kept himself abreast of all developments.

Now, it is just not the matter of the living scientists in Britain and America who, following the exposures of the Piltdown and other missing-link forgeries, have re-examined the whole subject and declare Evolution to be without foundation. There has always been a classical line of scientists who have written against Evolution. Surely Fr. Nesbitt has heard of Lord Kelvin and Sir Ambrose Philips? And in the Catholic ambience there is Vialleton whose counter-Evolution blast, **L'illusion Transformiste** went through 17

editions in its first two years. There is Paul Lemoine, Director National Museum, Paris, who in the French Encyclopaedia states, "The theory of Evolution is impossible." There is J. Lefevre, Paris, author of *Critique de Biologie*; there is Maurice Thomas, author of *Le Transformisme contre la Science*. And in Italy there is Giulio Fano of Rome, international medical author, and Carrazzi of Padua, author of *Il Dogma dell' Evoluzione*. One must also not forget the recently dead French biologist, Jean Rostand, who declared in his *Ce Que Je Crois*, "Man evolves from the monkey as the monkey evolves from the tree. Evolution is a gigantic fairy-tale." And these

are but a few of the scientists who have declared Evolution to be false.

One can only conclude that Fr. Nesbitt represents that phenomenon among Catholics, of those who have a feeling or illumination that Evolution is all around us; and, thus, that the scientists opposed to Evolution are really non-scientists. But this attitude will no longer avail, with an increasing number becoming aware of the authorities against Evolution. Prior to any further attempts to reconcile the Catholic Faith with Evolution, Fr. Nesbitt must first show that Evolution is a fact and not a fiction.

## Passage to Alaska by Tir nan Og

(Our respected contributor has now aroused from his winter hibernation to give us this account of his former travels and observations—Ed.)

Nowadays it is a matter of a couple of hours by jet from Seattle Airport to Anchorage. But forty years ago it meant a voyage of four or five days through the Inland Passage, a method of travel which enabled one to follow and appraise the voyagings of men of earlier time.....

As we move out into the Sound, Vancouver City falls astern, seemingly creeping under the shelter of Grouse Mountain, the purple cone of which stands out against the lighter azure. We move on a diamond-bright sea, for here we are on a line with north-west France, fairly southerly. Ahead is the archipelago of green, wooded islets, in the main channel between them a vast raft of yellow pine pulled by a little tug. There is no cold in the wind as we move, for as the radio has announced, the whole mainland is under the influence of a heat-wave drifting up from Arizona. (This article, in fact is all about climate and the land.)

By the way, this timbered land is the abode of the Coastal Indians, the builders of the giant sea-going canoes. They were often engaged by the white man for the building of, say, a three-story hotel, using not a single nail in the structure. Indeed, "primitive man" was never primitive.

The lush lands of Vancouver Island can be seen through a haze to sea-wards, and, veering landward again, the giant redwoods stand out against the light golden soil of the Coastal Range. Inland in the valleys is the orchard country, with here and there a vineyard. This is the country we leave as we sail north.

In about two days we should be emerging from the first part of the passage into the Queen Charlotte Sound - under us now the long blue Pacific rollers - the Queen Charlotte Islands out of sight to seaward as we move to the Southern Alaska peninsula and Juneau. And here is Alaska.

Fronted by Sitka Island, of Sitka spruce fame, this peninsula warmed by the Japanese Current, is on a line with Denmark and West Scotland, so that some of the children on the seaboard have never learned ice-skating; indeed, a very livable country. There are many misconceptions about Alaska, but north of the Arctic Circle there is only the lesser part of this vast country; most of the interior being well wooded, its climate comparable with that of

interior Sweden; still a quite livable country. The aboriginal inhabitants were the Aleut Indian people.

But now for the Eskimos, the people who live on the Polar ice-fields, far north of the Arctic Circle. We are all familiar with the picture of this mysterious people, living in their igloos of snow blocks, cutting holes in the ice to fish, spearing the seal with their bone harpoons for their meat and skins.

Here the question that strikes one right away is, why on earth did this people elect for a life in the incredible conditions of the ice-cap? Herein lies one of the profoundest mysteries of the human race.

All are agreed that the first discoverers of America arrived from Asia via the narrow Behring Strait, right on the Arctic Circle, or possibly via the stepping stones of the Aleutian Islands. From this Alaska landfall all the immigrants moved south, towards the sun, to southern Alaska, to what is now British Columbia and California, and then to the rest of the American Continent - all except the Eskimos. There is no question of the capacity of the Eskimos to have followed the rest, for they traverse long distances in a single season in their skin boats, their *kyaks*. But they stayed on the ice-cap.

The explanation does not hold, that this was merely the case of a people preferring a northerly clime, for they could still have obtained this by moving into interior Alaska, a land with still a decided nip in the air, but one with abundant timber for house-building and fuel, alive with game, bearing many kinds of berry-fruits, and with its rivers thick with salmon and many other fish; a land providing all the necessities of life in abundance. But no, the Eskimos elected for the ice-cap, for the igloos heated only by their seal-oil lamps.

In truth, this phenomenon compels us to look again at the Survival of the Fittest, and so on, of Charley Darwin, the postulate that all animals and men have constantly acted towards their better material survival; this being the foundation of the whole naturalistic and Evolutionist idea of the history of man. The case of the Eskimos - for one - says "No" to this idea.

Is it that there are ancestral memories, spiritual reasons, deep in the mind of some races, an instinct to do penance, an instinct to keep away from civilisation? We simply do not know. And the superficial chatter of most of the anthropologists simply ignores these vital questions.

In fact there is a similar case in that of the Tierra del Fuegians (in which case Darwin made his biggest blunder) who actually left the warm and fertile lands of South America, to get as near as they could go to Antarctica. But this is a tale for another time.

### Book Review

## APE-MEN, Fact or Fallacy

by M. Bowden.

(Sovereign Publications, P.O. Box 88, Bromley, Kent. £3.30 † postage.)

This scholarly and profusely documented work gives the accounts of the various Evolutionist hoaxes, the alleged "missing-links", from the beginning of their presentation; not one seems to have been left out.

There is also a very good account of the forgeries of Professor Haeckel, i.e., regarding his photographs in the matter of Embryonic Recapitulation.

Noteworthy to Catholics is the amount of space the author devotes to the phenomenon of Teilhard de Chardin. Mr. Bowden certainly gives much circumstantial evidence to show that Teilhard was the most likely perpetrator of the Piltdown forgery. But this is a matter on which the reader must come to his own decision.

Whilst reading this work the present reviewer glanced again at the pamphlet, *The New Catholicism* (I.C.P.A., St. Wilfred's, Hailsham, E. Sussex) by Rev. F. Albers, Ph. D., in which the author quotes the praises of Grandmaster Mitterand of the Grand Orient for Teilhard - "Teilhard thus put man on the altar and since he

adored man he could no longer adore God". And Dr. Albers, states that Teilhard was a secret Freemason and a member of the ultra-subversive sect of the Martinists. Dr. Albers and Mr. Bowden both seem to think that Teilhard had great influence and powerful allies.

Mr. Bowden recounts how Teilhard, after Piltdown, re-appeared at Pekin to assume a position of prominence in the discoveries there. After this he arrived in Java and obtained a large money grant from the Carnegie Institution for the work in this Evolution site. Regarding Teilhard's activities and manifest influence, Mr. Bowden quotes C. Cuenot in his *Teilhard de Chardin* (Burns and Oates 1956) p.163 "One has the impression of a vast web, of which Teilhard held in parts the threads, where he served as liaison agent, or better still as chief of staff, able, like a magician, to make American money flow, or at least to channel it for the greatest good of paleontology."

Mr. Bowden's account is fully referenced. All one can add is that it is all a very odd business.

In any case, all who hear about the discoveries of the missing-links from the Evolutionist apostles will find ample material for their refutation in Mr. Bowden's book.

F.M.