

DAYLIGHT

ORGAN OF THE COUNTER - EVOLUTION GROUP

Editor and Secretary:
John G. Campbell
5 Wallace Avenue
STEVENSTON
Ayrshire
Scotland

Patrons: The Immaculate Conception
St. Joseph and St. Michael Archangel

January - February 1978

Annual Subscription £2.

CONTENTS

EDITOR'S LETTER,

CURRENT EVENTS,

CREATION, SLOW OR SUDDEN,

WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "SCIENCE"?

MY EVOLUTIONIST EXPERIENCE by Kevin Kelly
and

MY PILGRIMAGE by Marie Darrel

EDITOR'S LETTER

Dear Readers,

As was announced in the previous issue, we have been having formidable printing difficulties. To cut a long story short, the amateur printer, who printed for us at sheer cost, has now gone out of operation. The typing and duplicating agencies simply cannot produce clean stencils, and re-printing means indefinite delays. It was decided that it was necessary to go back to the traditional printer and type-setting.

This is necessarily a much more costly method - but it gets the journal produced and is a truly professional job. To minimise the extra expense **Daylight** has a considerable cut in contents, yet it should be noted that it is still equivalent to two-thirds of the previous matter in the body of the newsletter, it being the special supplements, mainly, which have had to be cut out. These supplements we hope to resume, along with more leaflets, as soon as finances permit, the Flood supplement being first on the list.

We have considered that the overriding consideration is for **Daylight** to keep appearing, even in abbreviated form, as the sole Catholic voice against Evolutionism in these Islands.

Such a venture as this had to be commenced as a subsidised effort, and here there must be recorded the generosity of several most generous subscribers. The hoped for financial viability - or near viability - can only be achieved by a further increase of readers. Thus, if each reader could obtain one or two other readers it would be a big step forward. We make bold enough to thank our crusading readers in advance.

THE EDITOR.

CURRENT EVENTS

R. I. P.

We regret to announce the death of Miss Eva Hulbert of Swindon on Christmas Day last, indeed a fitting day for her to meet the Infant Christ. She was a true activist against Evolution, among other things contributing to the press. Miss Hulbert was a convert, was a Queen's Nurse, and was an artist in mosaics; spending her life for the glory of God. Please remember to say a prayer for her.

Traffic in Infants' Corpses.

We know the name and address of a firm in the U.K. which trades in the corpses of the aborted infants (the information is simply not open to any doubt). The tiny corpses are processed, and the organs and tissues are then sold to laboratories, at home and abroad, for experimental purposes - this satanic business is simply beyond the scope of exclamation marks or further comment

But readers with Parliamentary connections may be able to find out if the National Health Service is implicated.

Euthanasia in being.

Mr. George Crozier, vice-chairman of the Scottish Lay Council (Universe 9/12/77) recently exposed the "selective" treatment of infants suffering from spina-bifida. According to his well documented statement, those infants regarded as hopeless cases are kept under sedation and "are starved to death".

As with the previous item, we add no further emphases. However, the Irish State, which has hitherto maintained a stand for traditional morals, is now being urged by a vociferous humanist minority to legislate for "modern and humane" measures - to embark on the slippery slope. To Irish citizens, and particularly to the women of Ireland, we would point out what is at the bottom of the slope.

"Take your children elsewhere!"

An English reader writes to recount a recent experience. It was a gathering of parents, invited to hear about the Religious Instruction being given to their children. The first thing noted was a formidable display of Modernist and Evolution literature, featuring Teilhard de Chardin whose works have been banned by the Holy See (Monitum, June 30, 1962) as "full of such ambiguities, or rather grave errors, as to offend Catholic doctrine." Our reader voiced his opinion that all this was corrupting true doctrine. Whereupon he was immediately declared "a trouble maker", and told by the headmaster and school chaplain - "You can take your children elsewhere!"

Our reader then wrote to the Bishop, and received, by return post, a reply stating that he (the Bishop) had been in touch with the school and that our reader had got it all wrong. But a few days afterwards his children came home from school with Evolutionist leaflets showing the great ascent of the animals into one another and of the monkey into man. He thereupon wrote to the Bishop again, enclosing the Evolutionist leaflet, but has not been favoured by a further reply. The matter is being further pursued.

The moral here is that the arrogant Modernists must be openly confronted. They collapse and descend into bluster when their ignorance about Evolution is exposed.

France

The newspaper **Quotidien de Paris** 24/10/77 conducted interviews with other religious leaders in France re their attitude to the Catholic Church.

The Rector of the Grand Mosque of Paris, Hamza Bombakeur, who is a member of the Supreme Council for Higher Islamic Studies declared, "The Catholic Church is today vanquished by the modern spirit, which is grafted on to a postulate which we reject: the postulate of Evolutionism."

The Rabbi, Alain Goldman, declared, "The Catholics are very concerned about Evolution. And we are too, as much as they are. The only difference - and it is of capital importance - is that they are trying to adapt religion to man....."

Thus, if any readers had thought we were exaggerating the terrible havoc wrought by Evolutionism in the Church, here are the perceptions of outside observers for them to ponder over.

Ireland

Reports from various correspondents depict a disturbing scene:

A vociferous Humanist minority, well financed, continues to agitate for a new Constitution permitting divorce and contraception. They have already succeeded in having the honorific mention of the Church deleted from the present Constitution.

Dr. Conor Cruise O'Brien, the Humanist, following his rejection by the Dublin electorate, has been appointed Editor in Chief of the London Sunday Observer. International Humanism looks after its own.

The much-hailed new Primate, Archbishop O'Fiach, has disappointed traditionalists, particularly by his weakening on the education question. He has been publicly challenged by traditional priests.

A body of Dublin women has picketed the offices of a contraception organization, one also accused of having abortionist contacts.

The traditional nation is obviously under attack from without and within. Clear and hard thinking and moral courage are going to be required from the children of Patrick.

Youth Speaks to Youth

MY EVOLUTIONIST EXPERIENCE

by Kevin Kelly

I am a 21 year old student of engineering, and I write this in the hope that others of my age group will find of interest my experience with Evolution.

Formerly we lived in the Chicago area of the U.S.A., where I was born. Brought up in a wonderful Catholic family, attending the Catholic primary school, my Faith was serene and untroubled. My world was beautiful.

Then an older brother came back from university with other ideas. Man was simply descended from the apes, Noah's Flood an ancient myth, and so on. First of all I argued against these ideas, but eventually I began to believe he was right. For Evolution seemed to be accepted everywhere, the television, the newspapers in all the science books and magazines. The whole weight of the world seemed to be for Evolution.

Doubt after doubt assailed my mind; if the Church were wrong about the first things, about Adam and Eve and Noah, what then of the angels, the Three Persons in One God, and all the rest. I said was not the Church, all supernatural religion, just founded upon ancient myths? Would we not be on sounder ground with a naturalistic religion founded on Evolution?

Through some inspiration my family had then decided on a special Novena of prayer, and I agreed to join in. I felt an immense

spiritual benefit, and began to hold on tenaciously to my Faith. Then there came into my hands a book, **The Evolution Hoax Exposed**, and after that Fr. P. O'Connell's, **The Origin & Early History of Man**.

These works gave the documentation showing the deliberate forgery of Piltown Man, of that of the hoaxes of the other "missing-links", one after the other, Nebraska Man, Java Man, and all the rest. It struck me forcibly that there was something "fishy" about a "science" that had to rely upon forgery and false evidence. Then, on further reading came the discovery, that the world was full of evidences which could hardly be reconciled with anything else but Noah's Flood, facts again omitted in the Evolution Literature. It began to appear that it was the Old Faith that was scientific, right on the ball.

Getting hold of further anti-Evolution Literature, I began to examine for myself the very basis of the Evolution case, that a law in nature of beneficent mutations made all the animals evolve into higher forms and the monkey into man. But, when we look at things for ourselves, we see that the mutations are bad mutations, actually acting towards the destruction of the organs and the subject. Yes, the Evolutionists try to hoax us into seeing that the processes of nature are other than they are!

I am now convinced that Evolution is completely unscientific, a hoax and a myth. And I would ask others of my own age group to demand the whole truth without any cover-up. In the first place, let them ask why the names of the eminent scientists who reject Evolution are never mentioned? It is all a sorry tale of concealment of truth.

CREATION- Slow or Sudden?

Throughout the world to-day an idea - planted by constant Evolutionist propaganda - has gripped the minds of men, that creation must necessarily be infinitely slow (i.e., Processism or Evolution). The idea is often expressed thus, "But really, you can't believe it all happened in six ordinary days?"

Let it here be said that the writer's knowledge of Hebrew is less than that of Goldsmith's Greek, so that the reader is in no danger of a dissertation on the Hebrew word, Yom (day). Rather will the attention be concentrated upon the fundamental point :- Is creation slow or quick? After that, it will be found, everything falls into place.

This age, we are informed, is a scientific one; therefore, let us regard the facts of the matter in a scientific manner, to regard facts as they really are.

Light - like lightning from east to west - light the very quality of which is swiftness, how could light have become slowly evolved, and from darkness, of that which is its opposite? Water, water and sand are scientific facts, but by what conceivable process could water be evolved from sand, or vice versa? Cell life, but the Evolutionists themselves say that it jumped in from the unknown and then attached itself to sterile matter! Leaving aside the minutiae of the alleged transformations, let us regard thought, mind, soul. Here we are all adamant that the human soul is out-with the rest of nature - thus we talk about humanity, but never of "horseianity" - therefore, how could it have developed or evolved from the animal kingdom?

Thus we see that, for an explanation of real things, we have to concede that there were separate acts of creation, according to kind, that things could not have developed or evolved from things wherein their substance was not present.

Now to examine creation itself, to ask what is meant by creation. St. Thomas insists on the difference between making and creating. He points out that to make is to produce a thing from things already existing; but to create is to bring into existence that which previously did not exist. Here is the definition of creation *ex nihilo*, from nothing. As that marvellously recondite

work, the Penny Catechism, puts it, "God made all things out of nothing by His word."

Thus, first of all there was only God, Who is outwith time, God existing in his timelessness. Then, God willed to create, to create from nothingness. Thus, to create is the immediate transition from nothingness to somethingness. Here we are in the realm of profound mystery. To try and put it into our inadequate human language, creation is something sudden, indeed even more instantaneous than sudden.

And thus, to go on talking about creation being necessarily slow is to confuse the act of creation with something quite different, the making of other things from things previously existing. And here is processism, Evolutionism, something which quite leaves out any act of creation, and, in fact, takes us back to the speculations of the ancient pagan philosophers about the eternally existing universe.

Therefore, we see - once we do accept the fact that creation

is *ex nihilo* - that creation could have happened in the six days of Genesis. God, after His instant creation of the various kinds, the plants, the fishes and birds, the animals, could have given them a twenty-four hour bedding-in period, whilst they awaited the coming of their master, Adam. It would all seem a benificent and "natural" course of events. Please, please, Dear Readers, let us remember that we do accept the fact of creation *ex nihilo*, and give up the miasma of Processism. Let us remember that we are supposed to live in a scientific age, so let us regard the reality of things, things as they really are.

This simple error, of regarding things from the Processism point of view, seems to have affected the judgement of those, clerics and laity alike, who would search Genesis to see if it could correspond with the aeons of time of Evolution.

So, in this business of "it couldn't really have happened in six days" we find a fantastic paradox. For, God being what He is and creation being what it is, it could all have happened in six flash-seconds, "like lightning from east to west."

What do you mean by 'SCIENCE'?

When one asks the supporter of Evolution for his grounds there comes the invariable answer, that "modern science" has shown the truth of Evolution.

First one must point out that the particular claim of science (the physical sciences) is that it is able to demonstrate visibly its findings. Thus, the discoverers of radio and television demonstrate their findings. Medical science can demonstrate the truth of a diagnosis by the evidence of the X-ray plate. And so on. We would also accept that the finding of undoubted remains of electrical instruments in the buried cities was scientific demonstration of the ancients having possessed electricity. Such positive demonstrations are what we all mean by the demonstrations of science.

Thus science is not a matter of "envisaging" aeons of time or "missing-links", or speaking of the confluences of thought, and so on. A scientific demonstration is a precise and hard thing. Then, where are the scientific demonstrations of Evolution?

The great claim of Evolution, that which is Evolution, lies in its explanation of living things and, in particular, of the origin and nature of man - the common man hits the nail on the head when he describes Evolution as "the man-from-monkey business". Evolution claims that forces inherent in nature cause the gradual ascent of living beings into higher beings, transformism; until the final animal, the monkey, ascends into man. Thus, we may dismiss as red-herrings the various postulates of the "Big Bang" and the ages of the rocks (noting in passing that here also competent scientists contradict the Evolutionist postulates) and concentrate upon the one vital sphere, that of the living beings. For this is what Evolution is all about, and if it cannot demonstrate its case here its whole concept must collapse like a house of cards.

It is to be noted that the Evolutionists claim that Evolution is of the nature of things, the great law which moves all nature throughout all time. Therefore, Evolution must be present here and now - an aspect which the Evolutionists deliberately ignore in their presentations from the past. That is to say, the scientific examination of the Evolution processes must be of these processes in the here and now.

The Evolution processes, they are supposed to work through a law of benificent and creative mutations, these mutations creating new and better organs, then the body of new organs forms the higher animal, and so to man. As Charles Darwin himself says in that absurd work *The Origin of Species*, p.187, **Natural Selection will never produce in a being any structure more injurious than beneficial to that being, for Natural Selection acts solely by and for the good of that being.**

So here is Darwin's law of the benificent and creative mutations. It is extraordinary. For every relevant science points out the fact that the law of mutations is that they are pathologic states; far from creating new and better organs, they act towards the degeneration and destruction of the existing organs. Indeed, the scientific observation is one with the general human observation when we witness the mutation of cancer, the gross malformation of the joints, and all the rest - whilst the animal born with a mutation is killed off as being non-viable.

It is truly extraordinary. They ask us to picture processes in nature which are contrary to the processes of reality, to imagine that we see that which we do not see! The reader is left to judge the scientific demonstrations of Evolution.

Thus, classic Evolution - of the processes of Evolution being inherent in nature - must be ruled out as a complete fallacy. And for any Evolution case there can only be a possible Theistic-Evolutionist one; that God used Evolution processes during the period of creation, and then brought these processes to an end. But as this would mean the "re-interpretation" of the Genesis statement "each according to its kind" (repeated no less than ten times) we would require the most complete scientific demonstration. And this would mean an ample fossil record showing the between creatures postulated by Evolution.

First it would have to be proved that the fossil record was not laid down by Noah's Flood, for the presence of fossils within the rocks means that these rocks were once flood borne silts. But let us assume for the moment that these fossils were formed during the postulated long aeons of time.

It was recognised by Darwin that the fossil record was vital to his case and he expressed the hope that the missing fossils would be discovered - again one notes that this is certainly not science. And in his **Origin of Species p.294**, he states, **The number of intermediate and transitional links between all living and extinct species must have been inconceivably great.** But to date more than 100,000 species of fossils have been classified, and the transitional links are all missing.

This is a well known fact, and one need only quote Gaylord Simpson, the noted American Evolutionist, in his book, **The Evolution of Life, p.144**, It is a feature of the known fossil record that most taxa appear abruptly. They are not, as a rule, led up to by a sequence of almost imperceptibly changing forerunners such as Darwin believed should be usual in Evolution. This quite admission - one among many - by this Evolutionist authority gives us the true state of the alleged scientific demonstration from the fossil record.

Regarding the fossils of the "hominoids", Piltdown Man, Nebraska Man, Pekin Man, and all the rest are now generally known to be forgeries; and anyone wishing to refresh his memory can consult **Boule & Valois**, the Palaeontologist's Bible, at any public library. It is only to the children and the uninitiated that these "discoveries" are still quoted.

Thus, both in the matter of the living creatures and of the fossil record the scientific demonstrations reveal a state of affairs contrary to the Evolution account. So much for the vague pronouncements of modern science.

MY PILGRIMAGE

by Marie Darrel

By the Light of God's Grace, after years of patient seeking, I discovered His Church established on Peter. It gave me such peace of mind that it cannot be understood without having experienced it.

None the less, I became uneasy at the inroads of Evolution within the Church, the number of Catholics who accepted this hypothesis - it is nothing more than that - on some Theistic Evolution grounds, hoping to harmonise the Word of God with pseudo-science, hoping to be considered part of the prevailing trends, not to be considered old-fashioned.

Thus, I was delighted when a friend gave me a wee booklet, published by the Evangelicals refuting the popular theory of Evolution. I was even more delighted to find that the Evangelical Bookshop - near St. Paul's - had shelves of beautiful publications of the American Creation Research Institute, all by top scientists who pointed out that the claims of Evolution were contradicted by the facts of their respective sciences.

But most heartening of all was the fact that they proclaimed Catholic Truth! God's word illustrated by the most recent findings of science, and the great activities of these Christians have made countless Americans and others to see that God's Revelation is accurate in every detail. And this, after all, is only what one would expect. To find that **GOD MEANT WHAT HE SAID** must surely be a great relief and joy to everyone who loves God above all else - and I declare this in the hope that others will catch some of the enthusiasm which the humble acceptance of His Word gives.

However, this brighter state of affairs is not reflected in the official Catholic bookshops, nor in the new catechetics. Yes, we have Catholic bookshops which openly display the works of Teilhard de Chardin, whose works have been banned by the Holy See, whilst the new catechists arrogantly inform the pupils of their Evolutionist account of creation. "Boldness is the only word one can use, for all this is contrary to the teaching of the Church and Tradition since the beginning.

TRUTH

Truth is truth wherever it is to be found. And, since Catholics have been so gullible as to prefer the mere changeable opinions of men to the Sacred Word of God, it is not surprising that He decided to enlighten some non-Catholics who truly love His Word. Therefore, it follows that we should co-operate with our separated brethren in every way, those who are one with us in being

Of particular disquiet is the number of Catholic clergy who have accepted this scientific (sic) propaganda; it is something that they have obviously imbibed during their seminary days. Then, let us regard the definition of the Evolutionist scientific proofs of the chief prophet of the cult, the notorious Teilhard de Chardin - incidentally, one of the "discoverers" of Piltdown Man. In his **Phenomenon of Man, p.241**, he says, **Is Evolution a theory, a system, or a hypothesis? It is much more: it is a general condition to which all theories, all hypotheses, all systems must bow and which they must satisfy henceforth if they are to be thinkable and true. Evolution is a light illuminating all facts, a curve that all lines must follow.**

And there it is! The scientific-Evolution business is an ideology, a gnostic illumination before which "all systems" (i. e. sciences) must bow. To those who retail this scientific line one has to ask - Is this what you mean by science? And if it is, one has to say, bluntly—Then you should inform your hearers that what you mean by "science" is not what they mean by science.

In actuality, Evolution is a myth of the ancient world, resurrected in the 19th century and clothed in pseudo-scientific garments to flatter the vanity of modern man. It was recounted along the shores of the Aegean when still the Pipes of Pan resounded in the groves. And Plutarch, in his **Symposiasts, Book VIII**, obliges us with a quotation from Anaximander:

Men were first produced in fishes, and when they were grown and able to fend for themselves, were thrown, and so lived upon land.

And there is Evolution word for word, but minus the pseudo-scientific trappings.

fundamental about the Word of God, and proclaim His glory.

**By His Word the heavens were made.
And He made man in His own image.
In the image of God He made him.
He took unto Himself a human body.**

I may point out that there is no word of Evolution there!

No scientific formula could be more correct than Holy Scripture inspired by God Himself, which says, **All flesh is not the same flesh, but there is one flesh of beasts, another of fishes, another of birds (1 Cor. 15. 39).** And Holy Scripture and science both teach that the human race is one. (Acts 17. 26).

And here the blood plasma bank shows that the blood of animals is not the same as ours, for no blood transfusion works between man and beast, fowl or fish. Neither do experiments on animals guarantee that the same drugs will work on human beings, as the case of the thalidomide babies proved.

"Where evil flourishes there Grace also abounds."

So, great was my joy when Paula Haigh founded the first Catholic Creation Research Institute, as if in response to Pope Paul's appeal for the renewal of the Faith. Her careful research into the teaching of the Church throughout the ages has been unequalled and a revelation to us all. More and more will Catholics come to realise the great debt that they owe her.

Her unashamed appreciation of the wonderful works of the non-Catholic Creationist scientists serves the cause of true ecumenism better than those pseudo-ecumenical ventures which we are only too familiar with. It follows then that we should fully co-operate with our separated brethren against the pernicious world-wide propaganda for Evolutionism. Paula Haigh has opened a new door.

And now has come our new journal **Daylight** which, with its mastery of facts and trenchant style, is getting the truth home to an increasing number of Catholics in these Islands.

Yes, I feel that the miasma of untruth is beginning to dispel, and that God is deigning to answer my prayers of so many years.

CONFERENCE ON CREATION AND ORIGINS

An interdenominational conference, as above, is to take place at the Hayes Conference Centre, SWANWICK, Derbyshire from 28 - 31st March.

The total cost is £16, a deposit of £4 to accompany booking. Send to Mrs J. M. Smith, 11 Roe Cross Green, Mottram, via Hyde, Cheshire, SK14 6LP.

This should be a most interesting conference and the Editor looks forward to seeing Daylight readers there.